

MARY'S REPLY

Dr. Raphaël CHERBONNEAU

to the Theologians



Raphaël and Claire Cherbonneau, and their three children.

OSNY, Sunday, September 18, 2005

Doctor CHERBONNEAU
to

His Exc. Archbishop PRENDERGAST

Your Excellency:

I ask you to forgive me for bothering you with this letter, for I am but a very recent convert! Judge for yourself, instead: I was baptized only on April 3, 1999! However, this late conversion I owe it to the reading of Mrs. Marie-Paule Giguère's work, *LIFE OF LOVE*.

I must also quote from an author whose writings I found particularly convincing, Mr. Raoul Auclair, whom I admire very much. All I will write to you, or almost all, will be taken from the first work of his that I read: *La Dame de Tous les Peuples, Ouverture à l'intelligence des messages; les visions*, Quebec, Éditions Stella.

In your letter of last April 25 addressed to Mrs. Giguère, you mentioned the Host set up in the chapel of Spiri-Maria, representing Mary with Jesus, both of whom are present in the Host. You write that this is not in conformity with the Catholic faith. In that regard, you are perfectly within the "episcopal" role of the one who keeps watch in order to avoid things going astray. However, who is capable of giving you an answer? The Sons of Mary? Mrs. Giguère? Certainly not me, a simple lay person! So who else but Mary in her apparitions as the Lady of All Peoples. Here then is what she said on May 31, 1959, words that were transcribed by the seeress Ida Peerdeman: "AND YET THEY ARE TWO."

The "YET" is really there, in response to your astonishment, Your Excellency. That "YET" is there to tell us that GOD, in His supreme wisdom, has really heard you and has understood quite well your viewpoint as an archbishop keeping watch, to make sure that one does not say or write just anything at all about the Catholic faith.

And God already gave you a reply on May 31, 1959, that is 46 years in advance: "AND YET THEY ARE TWO." Are these apparitions truly Catholic? It is up to the local Ordinary, Bishop PUNT, to reply to that question. In a declaration made in June 2001, not only did Bishop Punt acknowledge the existence of the 55 messages of the Lady of All Peoples, he also recognized their supernatural nature without removing one single word! Therefore they are really Catholic. It only remains for us to thank God for having foreseen far in advance the difficulty you would have in accepting such a shocking fact and to have put in the "YET" in "AND YET THEY ARE TWO."

Now let us consider the supposed link between Mrs. Giguère and the apparitions in Amsterdam. Is it Mrs. Giguère herself who is glorying in being the one who is fulfilling the messages?

Is she a person elected in an association to tap money? There again the answer was given to Ida Peerdeman at two different times.

– On May 31, 1969, Miss Peerdeman on a visit to Paris, was at the chapel of the Rue du Bac. On that day, she received this message: "WHAT WAS BEGUN HERE WILL BE CONTINUED BY THE LADY OF ALL PEOPLES." That's all fine and good, but how? Has a Lady in a white nightgown, with her hair disheveled, and a yellow sash around her waist been seen at the Rue du Bac after 1969? For, let us be logical..., the apparitions in Amsterdam ceased in 1959! Therefore that means that someone else was to PURSUE WHAT WAS BEGUN in 1830 in Paris, and this was to take place AFTER May 31, 1969!

And its continuation came about quite logically as of August 28, 1971, at Lac-Etchemin in your beautiful country, Canada, with the founding of the Army of Mary.

– The second time of the divine reply occurred in Amsterdam when Ida Peerdeman, in the presence of Mrs. Giguère, received the indication clearly designating Marie-Paule Giguère: "SHE IS THE HANDMAID." (*Life of Love*, vol. XV, p. 21)

If Mary, the Lady of All Peoples, appeared 55 times to Ida, who besides Mary could have designated Mrs. Giguère as being the handmaid?

The same is true with regard to the demand that the words of the prayer of the Lady of All Peoples be modified. Not only is the number of bishops who have given their approval to this prayer increasing, but it was the Primate of the Gauls, Cardinal Albert DECOURTRAY, who gave it his imprimatur in 1994. Would he have made a mistake? What does the apparition recognized by Bishop PUNT say about it?

In the 29th apparition, she declared: "WHO ONCE WAS MARY MUST REMAIN AS IT IS." God had foreseen this objection and the reply was already given on March 28, 1951!

She even reaffirmed in the 41st apparition:

"TELL THE THEOLOGIANS THAT I AM NOT HAPPY ABOUT THE CHANGES THEY HAVE MADE IN THE PRAYER. 'MAY

THE LADY OF ALL PEOPLES, WHO ONCE WAS MARY, BE OUR ADVOCATE, THAT MUST REMAIN AS IT IS." (April 6, 1952)

During this apparition, the Lady also said: "SAY TO THE POPE, 'IT IS WELL.' HE WILL UNDERSTAND ME. TELL THE POPE TO MAKE ALL THE PREPARATIONS WITH A VIEW TO THE NEW DOGMA."

There you are, you too have been called to contribute, for the entire story of the apparitions in Amsterdam and the Army of Mary is aimed at the promulgation of this last Marian dogma, MARY MEDIATRIX, ADVOCATE AND CO-REDEMPTRIX.

It is quite obvious that all of this surpasses me as it surpasses the person of Marie-Paule Giguère, and the seeress Ida Peerdeman! It concerns all peoples and all Catholics! As the Lady of All Peoples says in her messages: "The hour is grave!" (32nd apparition, April 29, 1951)

We do not have very much time left for byzantine discussions on the faults or the reasons for this or that. "Giving, Forgiving, Abandonment!", there you have what Marie-Paule Giguère says. Therefore, if I make a gift of this letter to you, that is because I saw a hand held out and I also saw your embarrassment with regard to the dossier of the Army of Mary. Please forgive me for having bothered you. Also grant it to our poor Marie-Paule who is only obeying what comes from Heaven. And also grant it to the priests of the Army of Mary who have such merit.

Read and reread Mr. Raoul Auclair's book on the apparitions in Amsterdam. The messages are very hard to understand. Although... I have just shown you that an understanding of the messages is to be found in the messages themselves. The replies to your objections were already present in the messages and in a book that was published in 1982.

Respectfully, as I ask you to bless me and my family, as well as the Family of the Sons and Daughters of Mary,

Dr. Raphaël CHERBONNEAU

We express our sincere condolences to the bereaved families over the loss of a loved one. May Christian hope, before the reality of death, bring you consolation and peace.

IN MEMORIAM

DIONNE, Juliette - Rivière-du-Loup
GARAND, Sr. Thérèse - Sherbrooke
GARCEAU, Joseph-Roger - Trois-Rivières
GAUDREAU, Yvette - Montmagny
HANNA, Doris - Manchester, CT, U.S.A.
HOLLANDSWORTH, Beverly - Copperas Cove, TX, U.S.A.
LEFÈVRE, Louise - Maisons-Alfort, France

LÉVÈQUE, Fernande - Fauquier, ON
OUELLET, Lucette Schmouth - Rivière-du-Loup
PAPILLON, Lionel - Montreal
PINEAULT, Gilles - Rimouski
THIBAUT, Jacqueline - Ste-Odile-de-Rimouski
WALSH, William - Winnipeg, MB